

# Computing Small Canonical Heights in Arithmetic Dynamics

Robert L. Benedetto  
Amherst College

AMS Special Session on Dynamical Systems in Algebraic and Arithmetic  
Geometry

Thursday, January 5, 2012

# Some Students and Former Students

2003–04 senior thesis: Andrew Gillette

2007 REU: With Ben Dickman, Sasha Joseph, Dan Rubin,  
plus Ben Krause and Xinwen Zhou

2011 REU: With Trevor Hyde and Colin White,  
plus Ruqian Chen and Yordanka Kovacheva

For the raw data: see <http://www.cs.amherst.edu/~rlb>

# Dynamics on $\mathbb{P}^1$

Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $\phi \in K(z)$  be a rational function of degree  $d \geq 2$ .

[ $\deg \phi := \max\{\deg f_1, \deg f_2\}$ , where  $\phi = f_1/f_2$  in lowest terms.]

## Definition

A point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{K}) = \bar{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called **preperiodic** if

$$\phi^n(P) = \phi^m(P) \quad \text{for some } n > m \geq 0.$$

Write  $\text{Preper}(\phi, K) := \{P \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) : P \text{ is preperiodic under } \phi\}$ .

# The Dynamical Uniform Boundedness Conjecture

From now on,  $K$  is a **global field**.

Theorem (Northcott, 1950)

Let  $\phi \in K(z)$  of degree  $d \geq 2$ . Then

$$\#\text{Preper}(\phi, K) < \infty.$$

---

Conjecture (Morton & Silverman, 1994)

For any integer  $d \geq 2$ , there is a constant  $C = C(d, K)$  such that for any  $\phi \in K(z)$  of degree  $d$ ,

$$\#\text{Preper}(\phi, K) \leq C(d, K).$$

## Example: Testing for Preperiodicity

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{133}{144}.$$

Is  $z = 0$  preperiodic?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \mapsto -\frac{133}{144} & \mapsto -\frac{1463}{20736} & \mapsto -\frac{394995503}{429981696} & \mapsto \dots \\ 0 \mapsto -\frac{(*)}{2^4 \cdot 3^2} & \mapsto \frac{(*)}{2^8 \cdot 3^4} & \mapsto \frac{(*)}{2^{16} \cdot 3^8} & \mapsto \dots \end{array}$$

No, it's not preperiodic.

## More Preperiodicity Testing

Still with  $\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{133}{144}$ :      is  $\frac{17}{12}$  preperiodic?

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \frac{17}{12} & \mapsto & \frac{13}{12} & & \mapsto & \frac{1}{4} & & \mapsto & -\frac{31}{36} & & \mapsto & -\frac{59}{324} & & \mapsto & \dots \\ \frac{(*)}{2^2 \cdot 3} & \mapsto & \frac{(*)}{2^2 \cdot 3} & & \mapsto & \frac{(*)}{2^2 \cdot 3^0} & & \mapsto & \frac{(*)}{2^2 \cdot 3^2} & & \mapsto & \frac{(*)}{2^2 \cdot 3^4} & & \mapsto & \dots \end{array}$$

No. What about  $\frac{43}{12}$ ?

$$\frac{43}{12} \mapsto \frac{143}{12} \mapsto \frac{1693}{12} \mapsto \frac{238843}{12} \mapsto \frac{4753831543}{12} \mapsto \dots$$

No.

# Quadratic Polynomial Record Holders Over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{133}{144}. \quad \infty \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{7}{12} \rightarrow -\frac{7}{12} \rightarrow -\frac{7}{12} \quad -\frac{19}{12} \rightarrow \frac{19}{12} \rightarrow \frac{19}{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{12} \rightarrow -\frac{11}{12} \leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{12} \leftarrow \frac{11}{12}$$

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{29}{16}. \quad \infty \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & -\frac{1}{4} & \longrightarrow & -\frac{7}{4} & \longrightarrow & \frac{5}{4} & \longrightarrow & -\frac{1}{4} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \pm\frac{3}{4} & \longrightarrow & -\frac{5}{4} & & \frac{1}{4} & & \frac{7}{4} & & \end{array}$$

# Lower Bounds for Canonical Heights

The canonical height of  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$  is

$$\hat{h}_\phi(P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} h(\phi^n(P)),$$

where

$$h(a/b) = \log \max\{|a|, |b|\}.$$

## Conjecture (Silverman)

Let  $K$  be a number field and  $d \geq 2$ .

There is a constant  $C = C(K, d)$  such that for any  $\phi \in K(z)$  with  $\deg \phi = d$ , and for any non-preperiodic point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ ,

$$\hat{h}_\phi(P) \geq Ch(\phi).$$

But what does a point of small canonical height (i.e., for which  $\hat{h}_\phi(P)/h(\phi)$  is small) look like?



# A Quadratic Polynomial Example of a Small Point

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{181}{144}$$

Not small height:  $0 \mapsto \frac{-181}{144} \mapsto \frac{6697}{20736} \mapsto -\frac{495613295}{429981696} \mapsto \dots$

Small height:

$$\frac{7}{12} \mapsto -\frac{11}{12} \quad \mapsto -\frac{5}{12} \quad \mapsto -\frac{13}{12} \quad \mapsto -\frac{1}{12}$$

$$\mapsto -\frac{5}{4} \quad \mapsto \frac{11}{36} \quad \mapsto -\frac{377}{324} \quad \mapsto \dots$$

$\hat{h}_\phi(7/12) = 2^{-5} \log 3 = 0.03433\dots$ , vs.

$h(\phi) = h(181/144) = \log 181 = 5.198\dots$

Ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(7/12)/h(\phi) = 0.00660\dots$

## Another Quadratic Polynomial Example

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{36989}{19600}$$

$$\frac{153}{140} \mapsto -\frac{97}{140} \quad \mapsto -\frac{197}{140} \quad \mapsto \frac{13}{140} \quad \mapsto -\frac{263}{140}$$

$$\mapsto \frac{1609}{980} \quad \mapsto \frac{38821}{48020} \quad \mapsto \dots$$

Ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(153/140)/h(\phi) = 0.0117\dots$

## Some Quadratic Polynomial Observations

For  $\phi(z) = z^2 + \frac{m}{n}$  to have *any*  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational preperiodic points (besides  $\infty$ ), we must have:

1.  $n = k^2$  is a perfect square,
2.  $-m$  is a square modulo  $k$ ,
3.  $\frac{m}{k^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .

In fact, if we want more than just a few  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational preperiodic points, we must also have  $4 \mid k$ .

---

Of course, if you're looking for small height points, some of those conditions may be violated.

# A Simple Search Algorithm: Quadratic Polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$

1. List as many  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  fitting the previous slide's restrictions as you have the CPU time for.

Let  $\phi_c(z) = z^2 + c$ .

2. For each such  $c = \frac{m}{k^2}$ , list all  $P = \frac{y}{k}$  with  $|y| \approx \sqrt{|m|}$ .

Perhaps also restrict  $y$  so that  $y^2 \equiv -m \pmod{k}$ .

Of course, you might need to relax these conditions if you're looking for non-preperiodic small height points and you want to be absolutely thorough.

3. For each such  $P$ , keep applying  $\phi_c$  until you either
  - ▶ see that  $P$  has large height,
  - ▶ see a repeat, or
  - ▶ become convinced  $P$  has small height.

# An Alternate Search Algorithm

1. List as many  $P \in \mathbb{Q}$  of the form  $P = \frac{y}{4j}$  as you have the CPU time for.
2. For each such  $P$ , let  $k = 2j$ , and list all  $m \in -y^2 + k\mathbb{Z}$  with  $-y^2 - k|y| \leq m \leq -y^2 + k|y|$ . (Approximately.)  
Probably throw out any  $m$  with  $\gcd\left(k, \frac{m}{k}\right) \neq 1$ .
3. For each such  $m$ , let  $c = \frac{m}{k^2}$ . Now start taking iterates of  $P$  under  $\phi_c$  as before.

Note:

- ▶ Not good for finding *all* preperiodic points of a given  $\phi_c$ .
- ▶ May miss some small height points, in theory.

# One Result of the Alternate Search Algorithm

$$\phi(z) = z^2 - \frac{931161001}{476985600} \quad [476985600 = (2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13)^2]$$

Small height:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{30379}{21840} \mapsto -\frac{379}{21840} \mapsto -\frac{42629}{21840} \mapsto \frac{40571}{21840} \mapsto \frac{32731}{21840} \\ \mapsto \frac{27809}{94640} \mapsto -\frac{76737829}{41127840} \mapsto -\frac{25348543755859937}{16576692386042880} \mapsto \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{h}_\phi(30379/21840) = 0.28564\dots,$$

$$\text{Ratio is } \hat{h}_\phi(7/12)/h(\phi) = 0.013831\dots$$

# Cubic Polynomials

## Proposition

Suppose  $\text{char } K \neq 3$ , and let  $\phi(z) = Az^3 + Bz^2 + Cz + D \in K[z]$  be a cubic polynomial.

Then  $\phi$  is conjugate over  $K$  to a polynomial either of the form

$$az^3 + bz + 1$$

or

$$az^3 + bz$$

with  $a, b \in K$ .

For the first family, the parameters  $a, b$  are unique; for the second,  $b$  is unique, and  $a$  is unique up to multiplication by a square in  $K^\times$ .

# Filled Julia Sets of Polynomials

Let  $v$  be a place of  $K$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{C}_v$  the completion of an algebraic closure of  $K_v$ .

## Definition

The  $v$ -adic *filled Julia set* of a polynomial  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}_v[z]$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  is

$$\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_v : \{|\phi^n(z)|_v\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

---

If  $P \in K$  lies outside  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v}$  for any  $v$ , then  $P$  is **not preperiodic**.

Moreover, we get a positive lower bound for  $\hat{h}_\phi(P)$ , based on how far outside  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v}$  the point  $P$  lies.



# Approximating the Filled Julia Set

Fix  $\phi$ .

At all but finitely many places  $v$ , we have  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v} = \overline{D}(0, 1)$ .

---

For the finitely many other  $v$ , we can easily compute the smallest disk  $\overline{D}(Q, r) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_v$  containing  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v}$ .

Then  $\phi^{-1}(\overline{D}(Q, r))$  is a union of up to  $\deg \phi$  much smaller disks.

# A Search Algorithm: Cubic Polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$

1. List as many  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  as you have the CPU time for.  
Let  $\phi_{a,b}(z) = az^3 + bz + 1$  OR  $\psi_{a,b}(z) = az^3 + bz$ .  
[Discard  $\psi_{a,b}$  if you already did a conjugate of it.]
2. At each bad  $v$ , compute the 1–3 small disks whose union contains  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v}$ .
3. List the (finitely many)  $P \in \mathbb{Q}$  lying in  $\overline{D}(0, 1)$  at each good prime and in one of the disks at each bad prime.
4. For each such  $P$ , keep applying  $\phi_{a,b}$  [OR  $\psi_{a,b}$ ] until you either
  - ▶ see that  $P$  has large height,
  - ▶ see a repeat, or
  - ▶ become convinced  $P$  has small height.

# Cubic Polynomial Records Over $\mathbb{Q}$ : Preperiodic Points

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{3}{2}z^3 + \frac{19}{6}z. \quad \infty \rightarrow \infty \quad 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \frac{1}{3} & \rightarrow & 1 & \rightarrow & \frac{5}{3} & \Leftrightarrow & -\frac{5}{3} & \leftarrow & -1 & \leftarrow & -\frac{1}{3} \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \\ & & & & \frac{4}{3} & \rightarrow & \frac{2}{3} & & -\frac{2}{3} & \leftarrow & -\frac{4}{3} \end{array}$$

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{3}{2}z^3 + \frac{73}{24}z. \quad \infty \rightarrow \infty \quad 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \frac{7}{6} & \rightarrow & \frac{7}{6} & & -\frac{7}{6} & \rightarrow & -\frac{7}{6} \\ & & & & & & & & \\ -\frac{3}{2} & \rightarrow & \frac{1}{2} & \Leftrightarrow & \frac{4}{3} & & \frac{3}{2} & \rightarrow & -\frac{1}{2} & \Leftrightarrow & -\frac{4}{3} \\ & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & & & \\ & & \frac{1}{6} & & & & -\frac{1}{6} & & & & \end{array}$$

## Some Small Heights Discovered

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{3}{4}z^3 + \frac{25}{12}z + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} -1 \mapsto -\frac{1}{3} \mapsto \frac{1}{3} \mapsto \frac{5}{3} \mapsto 1 \mapsto \frac{7}{3} \mapsto -\frac{11}{3} \\ \mapsto \frac{91}{3} \mapsto -\frac{62605}{3} \mapsto \frac{20447763377503}{3} \mapsto \dots \end{aligned}$$

Height ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(-1)/h(\phi) = 0.0004642\dots$

---

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{1}{48}z^3 + \frac{31}{12}z + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \mapsto 10 \mapsto 6 \mapsto 12 \mapsto -4 \mapsto -8 \mapsto -9 \\ \mapsto -\frac{113}{16} \mapsto -\frac{649189}{65536} \mapsto \dots \end{aligned}$$

Height ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(4)/h(\phi) = 0.0003945\dots$

# The Cubic Polynomial Record Holder over $\mathbb{Q}$ : Small Height

$$\phi(z) = -\frac{25}{24}z^3 + \frac{97}{24}z + 1$$

$$-\frac{7}{5} \mapsto -\frac{9}{5} \mapsto -\frac{1}{5} \mapsto \frac{1}{5} \mapsto \frac{9}{5}$$

$$\mapsto \frac{11}{5} \mapsto -\frac{6}{5} \mapsto -\frac{41}{20} \mapsto \frac{4323}{2560} \mapsto \dots$$

$$\hat{h}_\phi(-7) = 0.0011\dots, \text{ vs.}$$

$$h(\phi) = \log(97) = 4.57\dots$$

$$\text{Ratio is } \hat{h}_\phi(-7)/h(\phi) = 0.00025\dots$$

# A Search Algorithm: Cubic Polynomials over Quadratic Number Fields

1. List as many  $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $[\mathbb{Q}(a, b) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2$  as you have the CPU time for.

Let  $\phi_{a,b}(z) = az^3 + bz + 1$  OR  $\psi_{a,b}(z) = az^3 + bz$ .

[Discard  $\psi_{a,b}$  if you already did a conjugate of it.]

2. At each bad  $v$ , compute the 1–3 small disks whose union contains  $\mathcal{K}_{\phi,v}$ .  
[Note: if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have to decide which quadratic fields  $K$  to consider.]
3. List the (finitely many)  $P \in K$  in  $\overline{D}(0, 1)$  at each good prime and in one of the disks at each bad prime.
4. For each such  $P$ , keep applying  $\phi_{a,b}$  [OR  $\psi_{a,b}$ ] until you either
  - ▶ see that  $P$  has large height,
  - ▶ see a repeat, or
  - ▶ become convinced  $P$  has small height.

## A preliminary finding over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$

$$\phi(z) = \left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{5}i\right)z^3 + \left(\frac{7}{10} - 2i\right)z + 1$$

Small height:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{13} - \frac{4}{13}i &\mapsto \frac{8}{13} - \frac{14}{13}i \mapsto -\frac{3}{13} - \frac{11}{13}i \mapsto -\frac{9}{13} - \frac{7}{13}i \\ &\mapsto -1 + i \mapsto 3 + i \mapsto -\frac{81}{5} - \frac{113}{5}i \\ &\mapsto -\frac{849899}{625} + \frac{8660743}{625}i \mapsto \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{h}_\phi\left(\frac{6}{13} - \frac{4}{13}i\right) = 0.00647\dots,$$

$$\text{Ratio is } \hat{h}_\phi\left(\frac{6}{13} - \frac{4}{13}i\right)/h(\phi) = 0.00173\dots$$

## Preliminary findings over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

$$\phi(z) = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\right)z^3 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\sqrt{2}\right)z + 1$$

Small height:

$$\begin{aligned} -2 &\mapsto -2 - 2\sqrt{2} \mapsto -2 - \sqrt{2} \mapsto -2 - 3\sqrt{2} \mapsto 4 + \sqrt{2} \\ &\mapsto 4 - \sqrt{2} \mapsto 46 - 27\sqrt{2} \mapsto 179932 - 127247\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

Ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(-2)/h(\phi) = 0.001375\dots$

---

$$\phi(z) = (-2 - \sqrt{2})z^3 + \left(3 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)z + 1$$

Small height:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} &\mapsto 1 - \sqrt{2} \mapsto -1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mapsto 0 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ &\mapsto -3 + \sqrt{2} \mapsto 25 - \frac{23\sqrt{2}}{2} \mapsto -21652 + 13724\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

Ratio is  $\hat{h}_\phi(-\sqrt{2}/2)/h(\phi) = 0.0010\dots$



## And beyond that ...

... nothing interesting yet.

There are a **LOT** of pairs  $(a, b)$  to try.

Even for  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $h(a), h(b) \leq \log 20$  (or so), there are **hundreds of billions** of polynomials to test.

[Recall the quadratic record-holder for  $\mathbb{Q}$  appeared at height  $\log 181$ , and the cubic one for  $\log 97$ .]

Also, for each cubic polynomial, there are more candidate points  $P$  to test.

---

We seem to need better ways to discard many polynomials before we even look at them, as we do for quadratic polynomials.

Any ideas?