The *abc* Conjecture: An Introduction

Robert L. Benedetto
Amherst College

$$2400 + 1 = 2401$$

Fermat's Last Theorem. (Wiles, 1995)

Theorem

Given $n \geq 3$ integer, there are **no integer solutions** *to*

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

with none of x, y, z equal to zero.

Proof:

A little too long to fit into this talk.
"QED"

Using **Polynomials** instead of **Integers**

Recall: A polynomial $f(t)$ with complex coefficients is a function of the form:

$$f(t) = a_d t^d + a_{d-1} t^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 t + a_0,$$

where every $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

---

e.g.:

$$5 - \pi t + t^2$$

$$(14 + 2i) + 17t^{11} - (5 - 3i)t^{38}$$

$$7 + \sqrt{2}\, i$$

$$0$$

Notation:

$$\mathbb{C}[t] = \{\text{polynomials with coefficients in } \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Fermat for Polynomials

Theorem

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. There are **no** *polynomials $f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that*

$$(f(t))^n + (g(t))^n = (h(t))^n$$

except *for the cases that:*

- *at least one of f, g, h is the zero polynomial,*
  or
- *f, g, h are all constant,*
  or
- *f is a constant times g.*

Note: $n = 2$ has **many** solutions. E.g., for any polynomial $f(t)$,

$$(f^2 - 1)^2 + (2f)^2 = (f^2 + 1)^2.$$

Review of Polynomials and Degrees

To say $f = g$ means: for **every** $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $f(t_0) = g(t_0)$.

Equivalently, f and g have exactly the same coefficients.

Writing $f(t) = a_d t^d + \cdots + a_0$ with $a_d \neq 0$, the integer

$$d = d_f = \deg(f)$$

is the **degree** of f.

Note:

- If $f = a_0$ is a nonzero constant, then $\deg(f) = 0$.
- If $f = 0$ is the zero polynomial, we either don't talk about its degree or say that $\deg(f) = -\infty$.
- $\deg(f \cdot g) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.
- If f is not a constant, then $\deg(f') = \deg(f) - 1$.

Roots of Polynomials

Any nonzero polynomial

$$f = a_d t^d + \cdots + a_0$$

(with $a_d \neq 0$) has exactly d **roots**

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{C},$$

and in particular,

$$f(t) = a_d \cdot (t - \alpha_1) \cdot (t - \alpha_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot (t - \alpha_d).$$

Furthermore, the above factorization of f is **unique**.

Examples of Roots of Polynomials

Examples.

$$f(t) = t^3 - 3t^2 + 2t = t(t-1)(t-2)$$

$$g(t) = t^2 + 4 = (t-2i)(t+2i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= 2t^3 - 3t^2 + 1 = 2\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)(t-1)(t-1) \\ &= 2\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)(t-1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Counting Roots

Example: Are there numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$(t+1)^5(t+2)^4(t^3+at-3) = (t-2)^3(t-1)^2(t^7+bt+6) \ ?$$

Answer: NO!
We could multiply it out, but here's an easier way:

If the above polynomials were equal, then they would be a single polynomial of degree 12.

This polynomial has as roots **at least** the following:

- -1, appearing 5 times,
- -2, appearing 4 times,
- 2, appearing 3 times
- 1, appearing 2 times

That's already $5+4+3+2 = 14$ roots for a degree 12 polynomial, which is impossible.

Roots and Derivatives

Suppose $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ and α is a root of f, appearing with multiplicity $r \geq 1$; that is,

$$f(t) = (t - \alpha)^r g(t)$$

for some polynomial $g(t)$. Then

$$f'(t) = r(t - \alpha)^{r-1} g(t) + (t - \alpha)^r g'(t)$$

$$= (t - \alpha)^{r-1} \underbrace{\left[r g(t) + (t - \alpha) g'(t) \right]}_{\text{some polynomial}}.$$

That means the polynomial f' has α as a root with multiplicity (at least) $r - 1$.

The Radical of a Polynomial

Definition

Given a polynomial

$$f(t) = A(t-\alpha_1)^{r_1}(t-\alpha_2)^{r_2}\cdots(t-\alpha_k)^{r_k},$$

with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ distinct, the **radical** of f is the polynomial

$$\mathrm{rad}(f) = (t-\alpha_1)(t-\alpha_2)\cdots(t-\alpha_k).$$

Note:

1. If f is not constant, then $1 \leq \deg(\mathrm{rad}(f)) \leq \deg(f)$.
2. The number of **distinct** roots of f is $k = \deg(\mathrm{rad}(f))$.
3. $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_k = \deg(f)$.

abc for Polynomials

Theorem (Stothers, Mason, early 1980s)

Let $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ be nonzero polynomials, not all constant, such that

1. *$a + b = c$, and*
2. *a and b have no common roots.*

Then

$$\max\{\deg(a), \deg(b), \deg(c)\} \leq -1 + \deg(\mathrm{rad}(abc)).$$

That is:

The largest degree of a, b, and c is **strictly less than** the **total** number of **distinct** roots of a, b, and c.

Example 1

$$\underbrace{t^3(5t+2)}_{a(t)} + \underbrace{(3t+1)^3(t+1)}_{b(t)} = \underbrace{(2t+1)^3(4t+1)}_{c(t)}$$

$\max\{\deg a, \deg b, \deg c\} = 4$

$\mathrm{rad}(abc) = t(t+1)(2t+1)(3t+1)(4t+1)(5t+2)$,

so $\deg(\mathrm{rad}(abc)) = 6$, and $4 \leq (-1) + 6$.

Example 2

$$\underbrace{t^{17}}_{a(t)} + \underbrace{1}_{b(t)} = \underbrace{t^{17}+1}_{c(t)}$$

$\max\{\deg a, \deg b, \deg c\} = \deg a = \deg c = 17$

$\mathrm{rad}(abc) = t(t^{17}+1)$,

so $\deg(\mathrm{rad}(abc)) = 18$, and $17 \leq (-1) + 18$.

Example 3

$$\underbrace{(t^8+1)}_{a(t)}+\underbrace{(-t^8+1)}_{b(t)}=\underbrace{2}_{c(t)}$$

$\max\{\deg a, \deg b, \deg c\} = \deg a = \deg b = 8$

$\mathrm{rad}(abc) = t^{16} - 1,$

so $\deg(\mathrm{rad}(abc)) = 16$, and $8 \leq (-1) + 16$.

Proving *abc* for Polynomials: Setup

Without loss: The largest degree is $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = d \geq 1$.

Let $d_c = \deg(c)$. Then $0 \leq d_c \leq d$.

Factor the polynomials as:

$$a(t) = A(t-\alpha_1)^{q_1}(t-\alpha_2)^{q_2}\cdots(t-\alpha_k)^{q_k}$$
$$b(t) = B(t-\beta_1)^{r_1}(t-\beta_2)^{r_2}\cdots(t-\beta_\ell)^{r_\ell}$$
$$c(t) = C(t-\gamma_1)^{s_1}(t-\gamma_2)^{s_2}\cdots(t-\gamma_m)^{s_m}$$

By hypothesis, note that:

- $q_1 + \cdots + q_k = r_1 + \cdots + r_\ell = d$,
- $s_1 + \cdots + s_m = d_c$,
- $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_\ell, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ are all distinct.

Let $N = k + \ell + m = \deg(\mathrm{rad}(abc))$.

Our goal is to show: $d \leq N - 1$.

Proof of Fermat for Polynomials

Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $f^n + g^n = h^n$, where

- f, g, h are nonzero, and
- f is not a constant multiple of g.

If f and g have a common root $a \in \mathbb{C}$, then so does h; so divide both sides by $(x-a)^n$.
Repeat until f and g have no common roots.

Rearrange so that $\deg f = d \geq \deg(g), \deg(h)$. By *abc* Theorem,

$$\underbrace{\deg(f^n)}_{nd} \leq -1 + \underbrace{(\#\text{distinct roots of } f^n g^n h^n)}_{\leq \deg(fgh) \leq 3d}.$$

So $nd \leq 3d - 1$, i.e., $(n-3)d \leq -1$. Contradiction! QED

Back to Integers

Given a positive integer $n \geq 1$, what's the analogue of the "degree" of n?

Idea:

$$2548 = 2 \cdot 10^3 + 5 \cdot 10^2 + 4 \cdot 10 + 8$$

$$\text{vs.} \quad 2 \cdot t^3 + 5 \cdot t^2 + 4 \cdot t + 8$$

So "degree" is roughly analogous to "number of digits", which means (roughly) $\log |n|$.

Another parallel:

$$\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

$$\log |mn| = \log |m| + \log |n|$$

What about the **radical** of an integer?

Polynomials have factorizations

$$f(t) = A(t-\alpha_1)^{r_1}(t-\alpha_2)^{r_2}\cdots(t-\alpha_k)^{r_k},$$

and the radical of f is

$$\mathrm{rad}(f) = (t-\alpha_1)(t-\alpha_2)\cdots(t-\alpha_k).$$

Similarly, integers have **prime** factorizations

$$n = \pm p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}, \qquad \text{so}$$

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a nonzero integer $n = \pm p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$.

The **radical** of n is $\mathrm{rad}(n) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$.

An Analogous Conjecture for Integers

Conjecture

There is a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: For all positive integers $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying:

1. $a + b = c$, *and*
2. a *and* b *have no common prime factors,*

we have

$$\underbrace{\max\{\log a, \log b, \log c\}}_{\log c} \leq C + \log\big(\operatorname{rad}(abc)\big).$$

[**Recall:** If $abc = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$, then $\operatorname{rad}(abc) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$.]

But: this conjecture is FALSE!!!!

Preparations for a Counterexample

Lemma

For any integer $j \geq 0$, $3^{(2^j)} - 1$ is divisible by 2^{j+1}.

Proof of Lemma: By induction on j:

For $j = 0$, $3^1 - 1 = 2$ is divisible by $2^{0+1} = 2$.

If the statement is true for some $j \geq 0$, then

$$3^{2^{j+1}} - 1 = \underbrace{\left(3^{2^j} - 1\right)}_{\text{divisible by } 2^{j+1}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(3^{2^j} + 1\right)}_{\text{divisible by } 2}.$$

Thus, $3^{2^{j+1}}$ is divisible by 2^{j+2}.

QED Lemma

A Counterexample to the Conjecture

For each $j \geq 0$, write $\underbrace{2^{j+1} n_j}_{a_j} + \underbrace{1}_{b_j} = \underbrace{3^{2^j}}_{c_j}$, for some $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note (for later) that $n_j < 3^{2^j}/2^{j+1}$. **We compute:**

$$\log \max\{a_j, b_j, c_j\} = \log\left(3^{2^j}\right) = 2^j \log 3, \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\log \operatorname{rad}(a_j b_j c_j) \leq \log(2 \cdot 3 \cdot n_j) = \log 6 + \log(n_j)$$

$$\leq \log 6 + \log\left(3^{2^j}\right) - \log(2^{j+1})$$

$$= \log 6 + 2^j \log 3 - (j+1) \log 2.$$

So if the conjecture were true, we would have

$$2^j \log 3 \leq C + \log 6 + 2^j \log 3 - (j+1) \log 2,$$

i.e., $(j+1) \log 2 \leq C + \log 6$ for **all** $j \geq 0$, which is impossible.

The *abc* Conjecture

Conjecture (David Masser and Joseph Oesterlé, 1985.)

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property.

For all positive integers $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

1. $a + b = c$, *and*
2. *a and b have no common prime factors,*

we have

$$\log c \leq C_\varepsilon + (1 + \varepsilon) \log(\mathrm{rad}(abc)).$$

abc ratios

Given a, b, c as in the conjecture, let

$$R(a, b, c) = \frac{\log c}{\log \operatorname{rad}(abc)},$$

called the "*abc* ratio" or the "quality" of the triple (a, b, c).

Idea: Intuitively, the *abc* conjecture says $R(a, b, c)$ cannot be much bigger than 1.

More precisely, it says that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are only **finitely many** triples (a, b, c) for which $R(a, b, c) > 1 + \varepsilon$.

Examples of *abc* ratios

"Most" of the time, $R(a,b,c)$ is a lot smaller than 1:

- $18384 + 73295 = 91679$ is $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 383 + 5 \cdot 107 \cdot 137 = 7^2 \cdot 1871$, so

$$R = \frac{\log(91679)}{\log(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 107 \cdot 137 \cdot 383 \cdot 1871)} = 0.40201\ldots$$

- $5^{12} + 3^{16} = 287187346 = 2 \cdot 137 \cdot 1048129$ has

$$R = \frac{\log(287187346)}{\log(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 137 \cdot 1048129)} = 0.877926\ldots$$

- But $1 + 2400 = 2401$ is $1 + 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 = 7^4$, so

$$R = \frac{\log(2401)}{\log(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)} = 1.455673\ldots,$$

which is number 36 on the all-time worst (best?) list of known (a,b,c)-triples.

Top ten worst known (a, b, c)-triples:

a	b	c	R
2	$3^{10} \cdot 109$	23^5	$1.62991\ldots$
11^2	$3^2 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^3$	$2^{21} \cdot 23$	$1.62599\ldots$
$19 \cdot 1307$	$7 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31^8$	$2^8 \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 5^4$	$1.62349\ldots$
283	$5^{11} \cdot 13^2$	$2^8 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 17^3$	$1.58075\ldots$
1	$2 \cdot 3^7$	$5^4 \cdot 7$	$1.56788\ldots$
7^3	3^{10}	$2^{11} \cdot 29$	$1.54707\ldots$
$7^2 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 311^3$	$11^{16} \cdot 13^2 \cdot 79$	$2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^{23} \cdot 953$	$1.54443\ldots$
5^3	$2^9 \cdot 3^{17} \cdot 13^2$	$11^5 \cdot 17 \cdot 31^3 \cdot 137$	$1.53671\ldots$
$13 \cdot 19^6$	$2^{30} \cdot 5$	$3^{13} \cdot 11^2 \cdot 31$	$1.52699\ldots$
$3^{18} \cdot 23 \cdot 2269$	$17^3 \cdot 29 \cdot 31^8$	$2^{10} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^{15}$	$1.52216\ldots$

For more information

For a list of all 237 known (a, b, c) triples with $R > 1.4$, see Bart de Smit's list at

`http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~desmit/abc/index.php?set=2`

For more on the *abc* conjecture, see Abderrahmane Nitaj's page at:

`http://www.math.unicaen.fr/~nitaj/abc.html`