

## Solutions to Homework 8

**Problem 1.** Cox, Section 6.1, Exercise 2, variant:

Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ , let  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$ , so that  $L/K_i/\mathbb{Q}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

- (a) Apply Proposition 5.1.8 to  $L/K_3$  to show that there is some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\sigma(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sigma(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3}$ .
- (b) Apply Proposition 5.1.8 to  $L/K_2$  to show that there is some  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\tau(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\tau(\sqrt{3}) = -\sqrt{3}$ .
- (c) Combine parts (a) and (b) with Example 6.1.10 to prove that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** We know from past examples that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ , and hence  $[L : K_i] = 2$  for each  $i = 2, 3$ . We also know that  $L$  is the splitting field of  $(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(a) Let  $f_2 = x^2 - 2$ , which is irreducible over  $K_3$  since  $\deg f_2 = 2$  and  $\pm\sqrt{2} \notin K_3$ .

The two roots of  $f_2$  in  $L$  are  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ . By Proposition 5.1.8, there exists some  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K_3)$  such that  $\sigma(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2}$ .

Thus,  $\sigma$  is also an element of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , being an automorphism of  $L$  that fixes every element of  $K_3 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , and hence  $\sigma(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3}$ , since  $\sqrt{3} \in K_3$ . QED (a)

(b) Let  $f_3 = x^2 - 3$ , which is irreducible over  $K_2$  since  $\deg f_3 = 2$  and  $\pm\sqrt{3} \notin K_2$ .

The two roots of  $f_3$  in  $L$  are  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ . By Proposition 5.1.8, there exists some  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K_2)$  such that  $\tau(\sqrt{3}) = -\sqrt{3}$ .

Thus,  $\tau$  is also an element of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , being an automorphism of  $L$  that fixes every element of  $K_2 \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , and hence  $\tau(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$ , since  $\sqrt{2} \in K_2$ . QED (b)

(c) By Example 6.1.10, we know that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| \leq 4$ , and that any element of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is determined by its values at  $\sqrt{2}$  and at  $\sqrt{3}$ . (Which must be  $\pm\sqrt{2}$  and  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ , respectively.)

By parts (a), (b), we have  $\text{id}_L, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ .

These four automorphisms are indeed all different, since  $\sigma$  and  $\text{id}_L$  both fix  $\sqrt{3}$  while  $\tau$  and  $\sigma\tau$  do not; and  $\tau$  and  $\text{id}_L$  both fix  $\sqrt{2}$  while  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma\tau$  do not. Therefore,  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{id}_L, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$ .

Observe that  $\sigma$  has order 2, since  $\sigma(\sqrt{2}) \neq \sqrt{2}$ , but  $\sigma^2(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\sigma^2(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3}$ , so that  $\sigma^2 = \text{id}_L$ . Similarly  $\tau$  has order 2. Finally,  $\sigma\tau$  sends both  $\sqrt{2}$  to  $-\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$  to  $-\sqrt{3}$ , so that  $(\sigma\tau)^2$  fixes both  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$ . By Example 6.1.10 (which in turn is by Proposition 6.1.4), it follows that  $(\sigma\tau)^2 = e$ .

This is precisely the multiplication table for  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . QED (c)

**Sketch of Alternative Proof of (c):** After observing  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{id}_L, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$  by the same reasoning as above, define  $\phi : \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\}$  by  $\phi(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda(\sqrt{2})}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\lambda(\sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{3}} \right)$ . It is easy to prove that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Then one can check that  $\phi$  is onto by applying  $\phi$  to each of  $\text{id}_L, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . Finally, since  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = 4$ , we get that  $\phi$  is also one-to-one, by the pigeonhole principle. Thus,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

**Note:** Since this problem set extends into Section 6.2, then even though this problem came from Section 6.1, I'm OK with you quoting Theorem 6.2.1 rather than Example 6.1.10, as follows:

We know that  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is the splitting field of  $(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$  and hence is normal. We know that  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is separable since  $\text{char } \mathbb{Q} = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 6.2.1, we have  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .

**Problem 2.** Cox, Section 6.1, Exercises 5–6, variant:

Let  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be pairwise relatively prime squarefree integers, none of which is 1. [That is, no  $m_i$  is 1 or is divisible by the square of any prime, and for all  $i \neq j$ , we have  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ .]

- (a) Prove that  $\sqrt{m_n} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{n-1}})$ . [**Suggestion:** Induction on  $n$ .]

(b) Use Theorem 6.2.1 to prove that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})/\mathbb{Q})$  has order  $2^n$ .

(c) Prove that  $|\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{10}, \sqrt{15})/\mathbb{Q})| = 4$ .

[**Note:** Using the ideas of Problem 1, can you prove that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ ?

**Proof.** (a) We proceed by induction on  $n \geq 1$ .

**Base case:** For  $n = 1$ , the hypotheses say that  $m_1$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.,  $x^2 - m_1$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By Gauss's Lemma, then, the same polynomial has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus,  $\sqrt{m_1} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

[**Note:** Or you can just say, "We know  $\sqrt{m_1} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ " without mentioning Gauss's Lemma and all that other nonsense.]

**Inductive Step:** Given  $n \geq 2$ , assume the statement holds for  $n - 1$ . Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{n-2}})$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_{n-1}})$ , so that  $L = K(\sqrt{m_{n-1}})$ .

Suppose  $\sqrt{m_n} \in L$ . Then we may write  $\sqrt{m_n} = a + b\sqrt{m_{n-1}}$  with  $a, b \in K$ . We consider three cases.

If  $b = 0$ , then  $\sqrt{m_n} = a \in K$ , contradicting the inductive hypothesis, since  $\{m_1, \dots, m_{n-2}\} \cup \{m_n\}$  is a set of  $n - 1$  pairwise relatively prime squarefree integers, none of which is 1.

If  $a = 0$ , then  $\sqrt{m_{n-1}m_n} = bm_{n-1} \in K$ , again contradicting the inductive hypothesis, since  $\{m_1, \dots, m_{n-2}\} \cup \{m_{n-1} \cdot m_n\}$  is a set of  $n - 1$  pairwise relatively prime squarefree integers, none of which is 1.

Finally, if  $a, b \neq 0$ , then  $m_n = a^2 + b^2m_{n-1} + 2ab\sqrt{m_{n-1}}$ , so  $\sqrt{m_{n-1}} = (2ab)^{-1}(m_n - a^2 - b^2m_{n-1}) \in K$ , again contradicting the inductive hypothesis. QED (a)

(b) For each  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , let  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_i}) = K_{i-1}(\sqrt{m_i})$ . By part (a), we have  $\sqrt{m_i} \notin K_{i-1}$ , and hence the quadratic polynomial  $x^2 - m_i$  is irreducible over  $K_{i-1}$ . Therefore, we have  $[K_i : K_{i-1}] = 2$ . By the Tower Theorem,

$$[K_n : \mathbb{Q}] = [K_n : K_{n-1}][K_{n-1} : K_{n-2}] \cdots [K_1 : K_0] = 2^n.$$

Note  $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{p_n})$  is the splitting field of the separable polynomial  $(x^2 - p_1) \cdots (x^2 - p_n)$ . Hence, by Theorem 6.2.1, we have  $|\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})| = [K_n : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$ . QED (b)

(c) Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{10})$  and  $L = K(\sqrt{15}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{10}, \sqrt{15})$ .

Note that  $\sqrt{15} = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{60} = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{6}\sqrt{10} \in K$ . Thus,  $L = K(\sqrt{15}) = K$ .

Let  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ , so that  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . Then since  $\sqrt{10}$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^2 - 10 \in E[x]$ , and since  $K = E(\sqrt{10})$ , we have that  $[K : E] \leq \deg f = 2$ .

Therefore,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : E][E : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2 \cdot 2 = 4$ . QED (c)

**Problem 3.** Cox, Section 6.2, Exercise 3:

Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{2})$ . Recall that the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_5$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\Phi_5 = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ .

(a) Prove that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 20$ .

(b) Prove that  $L$  is the splitting field of  $x^5 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and conclude (by Theorem 6.2.1) that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = 20$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[5]{2})$ .

Since  $\Phi_5$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_5$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg \Phi_5 = 4$ . Since  $f(x) = x^5 - 2$  has  $\sqrt[5]{2}$  as a root, it follows that  $[L : E] = [E(\sqrt[5]{2}) : E] \leq 5$ .

Thus,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : E][E : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 20$  is divisible by 4.

Since  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[5]{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (it is irreducible by Eisenstein with  $p = 2$ ), we have  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg f = 5$ . Thus,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [L : K][K : \mathbb{Q}]$  is divisible by 5.

Being a positive integer at most 20 and divisible by both 4 and 5, it follows that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 20$ . QED (a)

(b) The roots of  $f = x^5 - 2$  are  $\zeta_5^j \sqrt[5]{2}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , which are all distinct since  $\zeta_5^i \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . All five roots are clearly in  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{2})$ , so  $L$  contains a splitting field  $M$  of  $f$ .

Conversely,  $\sqrt[5]{2} \in M$ , and  $\zeta_5 = (\zeta_5 \sqrt[5]{2}) / \sqrt[5]{2} \in M$ . Thus,  $L \subseteq M$ , so  $L = M$  is the splitting field of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Theorem 6.2.1, then,  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = 20$ . QED (b)

**Problem 4.** Cox, Section 6.2, Exercise 5:

Let  $\text{char } F = p$ , and suppose  $f = x^p - x + a \in F[x]$  is irreducible over  $F$ . Let  $L = F(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$ ; in Exercise 5.3.16 (Homework 7, Problem 6), you showed that  $L/F$  is normal and separable.

- (a) Prove  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = p$ . [Of course, it follows that  $\text{Gal}(L/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , by Lagrange.]
- (b) Recall from Exercise 5.3.16 that  $\alpha + 1$  is a root of  $f$ . For each  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ , prove that there is a unique element of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  that takes  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha + i$ .
- (c) Find an explicit isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(L/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . [And justify your claims, of course.]

**Proof.** (a) By the assumption that  $f$  is irreducible over  $F$ , we have  $[L : F] = [F(\alpha) : F] = \deg(f) = p$ . As noted in the statement of the problem, we know from Exercise 5.3.16 that  $L/F$  is normal and separable. Therefore, by Theorem 6.2.1, we have  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = [L : F] = p$ . QED (a)

(b) Observe that for any integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that  $\alpha + i$  is also a root of  $f$ . This can be proven by induction using the fact about  $\alpha + 1$  mentioned in the statement of the problem, or by computing:

$$f(\alpha + i) = (\alpha + i)^p - (\alpha + i) + a = (\alpha^p - \alpha + a) + (i^p - i) = f(\alpha) + 0 = 0,$$

since Fermat's Little Theorem yields  $i^p = i$  in the field  $F$ , since  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{char } F = p$ .

By Proposition 5.1.8, for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is some  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$  such that  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha + i$ .

In addition, the integers  $0, 1, \dots, p-1$  are all distinct in  $F$ , since  $p$  is the *smallest* positive integer that is zero in  $F$ . Thus, the elements  $\alpha + 0, \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + (p-1)$  are all distinct in  $F$ , and hence the maps  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p-1}$  are all distinct as well.

By part (a), then,  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p-1}$  must be all  $p$  elements of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Therefore, for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ , there is one and only one map in  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  that takes  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha + i$ , namely  $\sigma_i$ . QED (b)

(c) Define  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)$  by  $\phi(i) = \sigma_i$ . Since part (b) gives us  $\text{Gal}(L/F) = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p-1}\}$ , it is immediate that  $\phi$  is surjective. To see that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism, given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\sigma_{i+j}(\alpha) = \alpha + (i + j) = (\alpha + j) + i = \sigma_i(\alpha + j) = \sigma_i(\sigma_j(\alpha)).$$

Since any  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$  is determined by  $\tau(\alpha)$ , it follows that

$$\phi(i + j) = \sigma_{i+j} = \sigma_i \circ \sigma_j = \phi(i) \circ \phi(j),$$

as desired. Finally, we claim that  $\ker(\phi) = p\mathbb{Z}$ :

( $\subseteq$ ): Given  $i \in \ker(\phi)$ , we have  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha$ , whence  $\alpha + i = \alpha$  and hence  $i = 0$  in  $F$ . Since  $\text{char } F = p$ , this means  $p|i$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $i \in p\mathbb{Z}$ .

( $\supseteq$ ): Given  $i \in p\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $i = 0$  in  $F$ , and hence  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha + i = \alpha$ . Since  $L = F(\alpha)$ , Proposition 6.1.4(b) again yields  $\sigma_i = \text{id}_L$ , so  $i \in \ker(\phi)$ , proving the claim.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Group Homomorphisms, then, the map  $\bar{\phi} : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/F)$  by  $\bar{\phi}(i + p\mathbb{Z}) = \sigma_i$  is an isomorphism of groups. Thus, the isomorphism requested in the problem is  $\bar{\phi}^{-1}$ , given by

$$\sigma_i \mapsto i + p\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \quad \text{QED (c)}$$

**Problem 5.** Cox, Section 6.2, Exercise 6: Let  $f \in F[x]$  be irreducible and separable of degree  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $L/F$  be the splitting field of  $f$  over  $F$ . Prove that  $n$  divides  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)|$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in L$  be a root of  $f$ , which exists since  $L$  is a splitting field of  $f$  and  $\deg f \geq 1$ . Define  $K = F(\alpha)$ , so that  $L/K/F$ .

Since  $f$  is irreducible over  $F$ , we have  $[K : F] = \deg f = n$ . Therefore, by Theorem 6.2.1 and the Tower Theorem,  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = [L : F] = [L : K][K : F] = [L : K]n$  is divisible by  $n$ . QED

**Problem 6.** Cox, Section 6.3, Exercise 2, variant:

For each of the following Galois groups, find an explicit subgroup of  $S_4$  that it is isomorphic to, in that it permutes the roots of the given quartic polynomial according to that subgroup of  $S_4$ . [Of course, justify your answers.]

(a)  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$ , viewing  $\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$  as the splitting field of  $f = x^4 - 4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(b)  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q})$ , viewing  $\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$  as the splitting field of  $g = x^4 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Solution/Proof.** (a) Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . By Problem 2(b) (Cox 6.1 #5) with  $m_1 = -1$  and  $m_2 = 2$ , we have  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ , and hence  $|G| = 4$  by Theorem 6.2.1.

The polynomial  $f = x^4 - 4$  factors over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $f = f_1 f_2$  where  $f_1 = x^2 - 2$  and  $f_2 = x^2 + 2$ , where  $f_1$  has roots  $\pm\sqrt{2}$  and  $f_2$  has roots  $\pm\sqrt{-2}$ .

Any automorphism of  $L$  fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$  must permute the roots of  $f_1$  while separately permuting the roots of  $f_2$ . Therefore, indexing the roots of  $f_1$  as 1 and 2, and indexing the roots of  $f_2$  as 3 and 4, any  $\sigma \in G$  must act on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  as an element of

$$H = \{e, (1\ 2), (3\ 4), (1\ 2)(3\ 4)\} \subseteq S_4$$

That is,  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $H$ . But since  $|H| = 4 = |G|$ , it follows that  $G \cong H$ . QED (a)

(b) Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})$  and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . We saw in Homework 4, Problem 6(a) (Cox 4.3 #2(a)) that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$ . We also have that  $L$  is the splitting field of  $g$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (since the roots of  $g$  are  $i^j \sqrt[4]{2}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ). Thus, by Theorem 6.2.1,  $|G| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$ .

Any  $\sigma \in G$  is determined by the values of  $\sigma(\sqrt[4]{2})$  and  $\sigma(i)$ . [This is because  $L$  is obtained from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by adjoining  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  and  $i$ .] Such  $\sigma$  must map  $i$  to either  $i$  or  $-i$  (the two roots of  $h = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ), and it must map  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  to one of the four roots of  $g$ . Since there are only  $2 \cdot 4 = 8$  such choices of how to do this, each such combination must be attained by exactly one  $\sigma \in G$ .

The four roots of  $g$  are  $i^j \sqrt[4]{2}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Thus, each  $\sigma \in G$  is uniquely determined by a pair of integers  $(k, \ell) \in \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , where  $\sigma(i) = (-1)^k i$  and  $\sigma(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i^\ell \sqrt[4]{2}$ .

For  $\sigma \in G$  with  $k = 0$ , i.e., for which  $\sigma(i) = i$ , we have

$$\sigma(i^j \sqrt[4]{2}) = i^j \sigma(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i^{j+\ell} \sqrt[4]{2}.$$

For  $\sigma \in G$  with  $k = 1$ , i.e., for which  $\sigma(i) = -i$ , we have

$$\sigma(i^j \sqrt[4]{2}) = (-i)^j \sigma(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i^{-j+\ell} \sqrt[4]{2}.$$

Index the roots  $i^j \sqrt[4]{2}$  of  $g$  from 1 to 4 by  $j + 1$ , i.e.,  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  is root number 1,  $i\sqrt[4]{2}$  is root number 2, and so on. For  $\sigma \in G$  with  $k = 0$  and  $\ell = 1$ , then, the formulas above show that  $\sigma$  permutes these roots as the 4-cycle  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ . The other elements of  $G$  with  $k = 0$  are  $\sigma^\ell$  for  $\ell = 0, 2, 3$ , namely  $e$ ,  $(1\ 3)(2\ 4)$ , and  $(1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$ . Note that  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  forms a cyclic subgroup of  $G$ .

For  $\tau \in G$  with  $k = 1$  and  $\ell = 0$ , the formulas above show that  $\tau$  permutes the roots as  $(2\ 4)$ . Thus, the other four elements of  $G$  must be the elements of the coset  $\langle \sigma \rangle \tau = \{\sigma^\ell \tau \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq 3\}$ .

Thus,  $G = \{\sigma^\ell \tau^k \mid k \in \{0, 2\}, \ell \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\}$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_4$ , represented explicitly in  $S_4$  as the subgroup

$$H = \{e, (1\ 2\ 3\ 4), (1\ 3)(2\ 4), (1\ 4\ 3\ 2), (2\ 4), (1\ 2)(3\ 4), (1\ 3), (1\ 4)(2\ 3)\} \subseteq S_4.$$

QED (b)

**Problem 7.** Cox, Section 6.3, Exercise 4:

Let  $\alpha = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ , and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . In Exercise 5.1.6 (Homework 5, Problem 7), you showed that  $f = x^4 - 4x^2 - 2$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with splitting field  $L$ . Prove that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg f = 4$ .

As noted in the statement of the problem, Exercise 5.1.6 told us that  $L$  is a splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and hence  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal. This extension is also separable since  $\text{char } \mathbb{Q} = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 6.2.1, we have  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .

We saw in Exercise 5.1.6 that the four roots of  $f$  are  $\pm\alpha$  and  $\pm\beta$ , where  $\beta = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$ . We also saw that  $\beta = (\alpha^2 - 2)/\alpha$ , and that  $\alpha\beta = \sqrt{2}$ .

By Theorem 6.1.4, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ . Therefore,

$$\sigma(\beta) = \sigma\left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 2}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\beta^2 - 2}{\beta} = \frac{(2 - \sqrt{2}) - 2}{\beta} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta} = -\alpha,$$

where the last equality is because  $\alpha\beta = \sqrt{2}$ . Thus,  $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta \neq \alpha$ , and  $\sigma^2(\alpha) = -\alpha \neq \alpha$ . It follows that the order of  $\sigma$ , denoted  $o(\sigma)$ , is neither 1 nor 2.

On the other hand, since  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = 4$ , we have  $o(\sigma) | 4$ , by Lagrange's Theorem. Since  $o(\sigma) \neq 1, 2$ , we must have  $o(\sigma) = 4$ . Because  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = 4$ , it follows that  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  is cyclic (with generator  $\sigma$ ), and hence  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . QED

**Problem 8.** Cox, Section 6.4, Exercise 7:

Prove that  $S_n$  is generated by the transposition  $\tau = (1\ 2)$  and the  $n$ -cycle  $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by  $\tau = (1, 2)$  and  $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ . First, observe that for each index  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have  $\sigma^{j-1}(1) = j$ , and  $\sigma^{j-1}(2) = j+1$ . Thus,

$$(j, j+1) = \sigma^{j-1}\tau\sigma^{-j+1} \in H \quad \text{for every } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (1)$$

Second, we claim that for every  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , the transposition  $(1, j)$  is in  $H$ . We prove this by induction on  $j$ . By hypothesis, we have  $(1, 2) \in H$ . For  $j \in \{3, \dots, n\}$ , assuming that  $(1, j-1) \in H$ , we have

$$(1, j) = (1, j-1)(j-1, j)(1, j-1) \in H,$$

since  $(j-1, j) \in H$  by equation (1). This completes the induction, proving our claim.

Third, we claim that *every* transposition in  $S_n$  lies in  $H$ . To prove this, for any indices  $a < b$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $j = b - a + 1 \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\sigma^{a-1}(1) = a$ , and  $\sigma^{a-1}(j) = b$ , and hence

$$(a, b) = \sigma^{a-1}(1, j)\sigma^{-a+1} \in H,$$

since  $(1, j) \in H$  by our previous claim.

Finally, it is a standard fact in Math 350 that the transpositions generate  $S_n$ . Since  $H$  contains all the transpositions, we must have  $H = S_n$ . QED

[**Note 1.** For  $n \geq 4$  not prime, it's important for the above fact that the  $n$ -cycle  $\sigma$  have the two elements of the transposition  $\tau$  appear *consecutively*; otherwise,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  might *not* generate all of  $S_n$ . For example, in  $S_4$ ,  $(1, 3)$  and  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$  together generate only an 8-element subgroup isomorphic to  $D_4$ . More precisely, number the vertices of a square 1, 2, 3, 4 clockwise. Then  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$  corresponds to rotating the square  $90^\circ$  clockwise, and  $(1, 3)$  corresponds to flipping it across the diagonal from vertex 2 to vertex 4. So together,  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $(1, 3)$  only generate that 8-element subgroup of  $S_4$ .]

[**Note 2.** On the other hand, if  $n = p$  is prime, then *any*  $p$ -cycle  $\sigma$  and *any* transposition  $\tau$  will together generate all of  $S_p$ . That fact can be proven as follows. First, after re-labeling the  $p$  indices being permuted, we can assume without loss that  $\tau = (1, 2)$ . Second, since  $p$  is prime, we can show that *some* power  $\sigma^j$  of  $\sigma$  maps 1 to 2. But again because  $p$  is prime,  $\sigma^j$  is still a  $p$ -cycle. Relabeling the remaining indices 3, 4,  $\dots$ ,  $p$  if necessary, then, we can write  $\sigma^j = (1, 2, \dots, p)$ , while still preserving the fact that  $\tau = (1, 2)$ . Finally, apply the result of this exercise, and we're done.]