

## Solutions to Homework 6

**Problem 1.** Cox, Section 5.2, Exercise 1:

Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  is not the splitting field (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) of any polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = x^4 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , which has a root  $\alpha = \sqrt[4]{2}$  in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ . Note that  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by Eisenstein's Criterion with  $p = 2$ .

However,  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , so  $K$  does *not* contain the root  $\beta = i\sqrt[4]{2}$  of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is *not* a normal extension.

If  $K$  were the splitting field of some  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , then by Theorem 5.2.4,  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  would be a normal extension. This is a contradiction, so  $K$  is not a splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . QED

**Problem 2.** Cox, Section 5.2, Exercise 3:

For each of the following field extensions, determine whether or not it is normal. (And, of course, prove your answers.)

(a)  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $n \geq 1$  and  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ , a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity.

(b)  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$

(c)  $F(\alpha)/F$ , where  $F = \mathbb{F}_3(t)$  and  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 - t \in F[x]$ .

**Solutions/Proofs.** (a): Yes, normal. Let  $f(x) = x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and let  $\zeta_n$  denote a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Then the roots of  $f$  are  $\{\zeta_n^j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ , all of which lie in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  is the splitting field of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence, by Theorem 5.2.4, the extension is normal. QED

(b): No, not normal. We have  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . However,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})$  contains a root  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  of  $x^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , which is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Eisenstein's Criterion with  $p = 2$ . If the extension were normal, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})$  would also contain the root  $\zeta_3 \sqrt[3]{2} \notin \mathbb{R}$ . This is a contradiction, so the extension is not normal. QED

(c): Yes, normal. Working in the ring  $F(\alpha)[x]$ , we have  $(x - \alpha)^3 = x^3 - \alpha^3 = x^3 - t$ . Thus, the polynomial  $x^3 - t \in F[x]$  factors completely as  $(x - \alpha)^3$  over  $F(\alpha)$ . Therefore,  $F(\alpha)$  is the splitting field of  $x^3 - t$  over  $F$ , and hence (by Theorem 5.2.4 again) the extension is normal. QED

**Problem 3.** Cox, Section 5.2, Exercise 4:

Give an example of a normal extension of fields that is not finite. (And, of course, prove your answer.)

**Solution/Proof.** Let  $L = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , which we know from another exercise [HW 5, Problem 1; i.e., Cox 4.4, Exercise 1] is an infinite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then every irreducible  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  (and in particular, every such  $f$  that has a root in  $L$ , i.e., every such  $f$ ) splits completely over  $L$ . By definition,  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal. QED

**Problem 4.** Cox, Section 5.3, Exercise 1:

Prove equations (5.6). That is, for any  $g, h \in F[x]$  and any  $a, b \in F$ , prove that:

$$(a) (ag + bh)' = ag' + bh'$$

$$(b) (gh)' = g'h + gh'$$

Here, of course,  $f'$  denotes the **formal derivative** of  $f \in F[x]$ .

**Proof.** Given  $g, h \in F[x]$ , write  $g = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j x^j$  and  $h = \sum_{j \geq 0} B_j x^j$ , where both are actually finite sums.

$$(a) \text{ We compute } ag + bh = a \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j x^j + b \sum_{j \geq 0} B_j x^j = \sum_{j \geq 0} (aA_j + bB_j) x^j, \text{ so}$$

$$(ag + bh)' = \sum_{j \geq 0} j(aA_j + bB_j) x^{j-1} = a \sum_{j \geq 0} jA_j x^{j-1} + b \sum_{j \geq 0} jB_j x^{j-1} = ag' + bh' \quad \text{QED}$$

---

(b) First, consider the case that  $g = A_n x^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $gh = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_n B_j x^{j+n}$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (gh)' &= \sum_{j \geq 0} (j+n) A_n B_j x^{j+n-1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} n A_n B_j x^{j+n-1} + \sum_{j \geq 0} j A_n B_j x^{j+n-1} \\ &= n A_n x^{n-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} B_j x^j + A_n x^n \sum_{j \geq 1} j B_j x^{j-1} = g'h + gh'. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we consider the general case that  $g = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j x^j$ . Then  $gh = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j x^j h$ . Therefore, applying part (a), we have

$$(gh)' = \sum_{j \geq 0} (A_j x^j h)' = \sum_{j \geq 0} (j A_j x^{j-1} h + A_j x^j h') = \sum_{j \geq 0} j A_j x^{j-1} h + \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j x^j h' = g'h + gh',$$

where the second equality is by the first case above of this part (b). QED

---

**Problem 5.** Cox, Section 5.3, Exercise 2:

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$ . Recall (from Lemma 5.3.10) that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ , we have  $(\alpha + \beta)^p = \alpha^p + \beta^p$ . Use this to prove the following identities for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ :

- (a)  $(\alpha - \beta)^p = \alpha^p - \beta^p$
- (b)  $(\alpha + \beta)^{p^e} = \alpha^{p^e} + \beta^{p^e}$ , for any integer  $e \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** (a) We claim that in  $F$ , we have  $(-1)^p = -1$ . If  $p$  is odd, this is clearly true. If  $p = 2$ , then  $2 = 0$ , so that  $-1 = 1$ , and hence  $(-1)^2 = 1 = -1$ , proving our claim.

Writing  $\alpha - \beta = \alpha + (-\beta)$ , we have  $(\alpha - \beta)^p = \alpha^p + (-\beta)^p = \alpha^p + (-1)^p \beta^p = \alpha^p - \beta^p$ , where the final equality is by our claim. QED

---

(b) We proceed by induction on  $e \geq 1$ . The case  $e = 1$  is given to us. Assuming the identity holds for  $e - 1$ , then

$$(\alpha + \beta)^{p^e} = ((\alpha + \beta)^p)^{p^{e-1}} = (\alpha^p + \beta^p)^{p^{e-1}} = (\alpha^p)^{p^{e-1}} + (\beta^p)^{p^{e-1}} = \alpha^{p^e} + \beta^{p^e}. \quad \text{QED}$$


---

**Problem 6.** Cox, Section 5.3, Exercise 3:

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$ , let  $n \geq 1$ , and define  $L$  to be the splitting field of  $x^n - 1$  over  $F$ . The  $n$ -th roots of unity are defined to be the roots of  $x^n - 1$  in  $L$ .

- (a) If  $p \nmid n$ , prove that there are  $n$  distinct  $n$ -th roots of unity in  $L$ .
- (b) Prove that there is only one  $p$ -th root of unity, namely  $1 \in F$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $f = x^n - 1$ . Then  $f' = nx^{n-1}$ . Since  $p \nmid n$ , we have  $n \neq 0$  as an element of  $F$ , so  $f'$  is a nonzero element of  $F[x]$  that factors as a nonzero constant times a power of  $x$ . Since  $x \nmid f$  (since  $f(0) = -1 \neq 0$ ), we have  $(f, f') = 1$ . By Proposition 5.3.2,  $f$  is separable. That is, the  $n$  roots of  $f$  in  $L$  (i.e., the  $n$ -th roots of unity) are all distinct. QED

---

(b) For  $n = p$ , we have  $f = x^p - 1 = (x - 1)^p$ , so the only root of  $f$  is  $1 \in F$ . (Repeated  $p$  times.) QED

---

**Problem 7.** Cox, Section 5.3, Exercise 7:

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$ , and let  $f \in F[x]$  be irreducible. In this problem you'll prove Proposition 5.3.16.

- (a) Suppose  $f'$  is **not** the zero polynomial. Prove that  $f$  is separable.  
[Cox suggests using the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3.5.]
- (b) Suppose  $f'$  **is** the zero polynomial.  
Prove that there is a polynomial  $g_1 \in F[x]$  such that  $f(x) = g_1(x^p)$ .
- (c) In the situation of part (b), prove that the polynomial  $g_1$  is irreducible.

- (d) Prove Proposition 5.3.16: For any  $f \in F[x]$  irreducible, there is an integer  $e \geq 0$  and a separable, irreducible  $g \in F[x]$  such that  $f(x) = g(e^{p^e})$ .

[**Suggestion:** Cox says to “apply parts (a)–(c) repeatedly”.]

**Proof.** (a) Since  $f' \in F[x]$  is not zero and  $F[x]$  is a UFD,  $f'$  has a factorization into irreducibles. If  $q \in F[x]$  is an irreducible dividing  $f'$ , then  $\deg(q) \leq \deg(f') < \deg(f)$ . Therefore, the two irreducibles  $q$  and  $f$  are not constant multiples of one another, so  $q \nmid f$ . This is true for all irreducible factors  $q$  of  $f'$ , and hence  $(f', f) = 1$ . Thus, by Proposition 5.3.2,  $f$  is separable. QED

(b) Write  $f = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ , so that  $f' = n a_n x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1$ . Since  $f' = 0$ , we have  $j a_j = 0$  in  $F$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . However,  $j = 0$  in  $F$  if and only if  $p \mid j$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, we have  $a_j = 0$  for all  $j$  with  $p \nmid j$ . That is, we have  $f = a_{pm} x^{pm} + a_{p(m-1)} x^{p(m-1)} + \cdots + a_p x^p + a_0$ .

Let  $g_1(x) = a_{pm} x^m + a_{p(m-1)} x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_p x + a_0$ . Then  $g_1 \in F[x]$ , and  $f(x) = g_1(x^p)$ , as desired. QED

(c) Suppose  $g_1$  factors as  $g_1 = h_1 h_2$  with  $h_1, h_2 \in F[x]$ . Then  $f(x) = g_1(x^p) = h_1(x^p) h_2(x^p)$  also factors. Since  $f$  is irreducible, it must be that either  $h_1(x^p)$  or  $h_2(x^p)$  is constant. That is, either  $h_1$  or  $h_2$  is constant. Thus,  $g_1$  is irreducible. QED

(d) Let  $S = \{j \geq 0 : \exists g_j \in F[x] \text{ s.t. } f(x) = g_j(x^{p^j})\}$ . Note that any  $g_j$  with  $f(x) = g_j(x^{p^j})$  must be nonconstant, since  $f$  is nonconstant. Thus,

$$\deg(f) = \deg(g_j(x^{p^j})) = p^j \deg(g_j) \geq p^j,$$

so that  $S$  is bounded above. [By  $\log_p(\deg(f))$ , but that detail is unimportant.] In addition, we have  $0 \in S$ , since  $f(x) = g_0(x^1)$  with  $g_0 = f$ . Thus,  $S$  is a nonempty finite set of integers and hence has a largest element  $e \in S$ . By definition of  $S$ , there is some  $g = g_e \in F[x]$  such that  $f(x) = g(x^{p^e})$ .

Suppose, towards contradiction, that  $g' = 0$ . Then by part (b) applied to  $g$ , there is some  $g_{e+1} \in F[x]$  such that  $g(x) = g_{e+1}(x^p)$ , and hence  $f(x) = g_{e+1}(x^{p^{e+1}})$ . Therefore,  $e + 1 \in S$ , contradicting the maximality of  $e$ .

Thus,  $g'$  is *not* identically zero. Therefore, by part (a),  $g$  is separable. Finally,  $g$  is irreducible over  $F$  by the same argument as in part (c). [Or by an induction using part (c), if you prefer.] QED

**Problem 8.** Cox, Section 5.3, Exercise 9:

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$ , let  $a \in F$ , and define  $f(x) = x^p - a$ . Suppose that  $f$  has no roots in  $F$  (and hence is irreducible over  $F$ , by Proposition 4.2.6). Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f$  in some extension  $L/F$ .

- (a) Prove that  $F(\alpha)$  is the splitting field of  $f$  over  $F$  and that  $[F(\alpha) : F] = p$ .

[Cox suggests using the argument in Example 5.3.11.]

- (b) Let  $\beta \in F(\alpha)$  with  $\beta \notin F$ . Use Lemma 5.3.10 to prove that  $\beta^p \in F$ .

- (c) For  $\beta$  as in part (b), use parts (a) and (b) to prove that the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F$  is  $x^p - \beta^p$ .

- (d) Conclude by proving that the extension  $F(\alpha)/F$  is purely inseparable.

**Proof.** (a) As noted in the statement of the problem,  $f$  is irreducible over  $F$  by Proposition 4.2.6. By Lemma 5.3.10, we have

$$(x - \alpha)^p = x^p - \alpha^p = x^p - a = f(x),$$

so the only root of  $f$  is  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $F(\alpha)$  is indeed the splitting field of  $f$  over  $F$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible over  $F$  of degree  $p$  with root  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $[F(\alpha) : F] = p$ . QED

(b) Given  $\beta \in F(\alpha)$ , by part (a) and Lemma 4.3.4(b), there exist  $c_0, \dots, c_{p-1} \in F$  such that  $\beta = c_0 + c_1 \alpha + \cdots + c_{p-1} \alpha^{p-1}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 5.3.10, we have

$$\beta^p = c_0^p + c_1^p \alpha^p + c_2^p \alpha^{2p} + \cdots + c_{p-1}^p \alpha^{p(p-1)} = c_0^p + c_1^p a + c_2^p a^2 + \cdots + c_{p-1}^p a^{p-1} \in F. \quad \text{QED}$$

---

(c) Given  $\beta \in F(\alpha)$  with  $\beta \notin F$ , part (b) shows that  $b = \beta^p \in F$ , so that  $g(x) = x^p - b \in F[x]$  has  $\beta$  as a root. It suffices to show that  $g$  is irreducible.

We have  $F(\alpha)/F(\beta)/F$ , so that by the Tower Theorem,  $[F(\alpha) : F(\beta)][F(\beta) : F] = [F(\alpha) : F] = p$ .

In addition,  $[F(\beta) : F] > 1$  since  $\beta \notin F$ . Therefore, since  $p$  is prime, we must have  $[F(\beta) : F] = p$ , and hence the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F$  has degree  $p$ . Since  $g$  is monic of degree  $p$  with  $g(\beta) = 0$ , it follows that  $g(x) = x^p - \beta^p \in F[x]$  is indeed the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F$ . QED

---

(d) By part (c), every  $\beta \in F(\alpha)$  with  $\beta \notin F$  has minimal polynomial  $g(x) = x^p - \beta^p \in F[x]$  over  $F$ .

Then  $g$  factors as  $(x - \beta)^p$  over  $F(\alpha)$ , which has a repeated root. [Alternatively, the formal derivative is  $g' = 0$ , so  $\gcd(g, g') = g \neq 1$ .] Hence,  $\beta$  is not separable over  $F$ . QED