

Solutions to Homework #15

Problem 1. V.3, #7. Consider the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2 + (-1)^k)^k z^k$.

- (a) Use the Cauchy-Hadamard formula to find the radius of convergence of this series.
- (b) What happens when the ratio test is applied?
- (c) Explicitly evaluate the sum of the series.

Solution/Proof. Let $a_k = (2 + (-1)^k)^k$. That is, $a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 3^k & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

Part (a): We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(\{ \sqrt[k]{1} \mid k \geq n \text{ odd} \} \cup \{ \sqrt[k]{3^k} \mid k \geq n \text{ even} \} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{1, 3\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3 = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Cauchy-Hadamard, the radius of convergence is $1/3$.

Part (b): If we apply the ratio test, we have

$$\left| \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} \right| = \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \text{ for } k \text{ odd,} \quad \left| \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} \right| = 3^k \text{ for } k \text{ even.}$$

Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} \right|$ diverges, since the odd terms go to 0, and the even terms go to ∞ . That is, the ratio test is inconclusive.

Part (c): To sum the series, sum the even and odd terms separately. That is, writing $k = 2n$ for the even terms, and $k = 2n + 1$ for the odd terms, the Geometric Series Test yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{2n} z^{2n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2n+1} = \frac{1}{1 - 9z^2} + \frac{z}{1 - z^2}$$

Problem 2. V.4 #1(a,b,d). Find the radius of convergence of the power series for each of the following functions, expanding about the indicated point.

- (a) $\frac{1}{z - 1}$, about $z = i$
- (b) $\frac{1}{\cos z}$, about $z = 0$
- (d) $\text{Log } z$, about $z = 1 + 2i$

Solutions. (a): Note that $|1 - i| = \sqrt{2}$. Therefore, $f(z) = \frac{1}{z - 1}$ is analytic on $D(i, \sqrt{2})$ but blows up at the point $z = 1$ at distance $\sqrt{2}$ from i .

Therefore, by the second Corollary on page 146, the radius of convergence is $\boxed{\sqrt{2}}$

(b): The function $\cos z$ has zeros at all odd multiples of $\pi/2$ and nowhere else.

Therefore, $f(z) = \frac{1}{\cos z}$ is analytic on $D(0, \pi/2)$ but blows up at the points $z = \pm\pi/2$ at distance $\pi/2$ from 0.

Therefore, by the second Corollary on page 146, the radius of convergence is $\boxed{\pi/2}$

(c): The function $\text{Log } z$ fails to be analytic at $z = 0$, which is at distance $|1 + 2i| = \sqrt{5}$ from $1 + 2i$.

At the same time, $\text{Log } z$ is analytic on a region (e.g., the slit plane) containing the disk $D(1 + 2i, \sqrt{5})$. Therefore, by the second Corollary on page 146, the radius of convergence is $\boxed{\sqrt{5}}$

Problem 3. V.4 #2. Prove that the radius of convergence of the power series expansion of $\frac{z^2 - 1}{z^3 - 1}$ about $z = 2$ is $R = \sqrt{7}$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = \frac{z^2 - 1}{z^3 - 1}$, and then, cancelling a factor of $z - 1$ from both numerator and denominator, let $g(z) = \frac{z + 1}{z^2 + z + 1}$.

Note that g is analytic on a larger domain than f is — g is defined at 1, in particular — but there cannot be an analytic extension of either function that is analytic at either of the roots of $z^2 + z + 1$, since the value of $|g(z)|$ blows up to ∞ at those points.

The roots of $z^2 + z + 1$ are $z = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4}}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$, by the quadratic formula. Both of these points are at distance $\sqrt{\left(2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{25}{4} + \frac{3}{4}} = \sqrt{7}$ from $z = 2$.

Thus, g is analytic on $D(2, \sqrt{7})$, but no extension of g is analytic on any larger open disk.

Therefore, by the second Corollary on page 146, the radius of convergence is $\sqrt{7}$. QED

Problem 4. V.4 #3. Find the power series expansion of $\text{Log } z$ about the point $z = i - 2$. Working directly from this series, prove that its radius of convergence is $R = \sqrt{5}$. Explain why this does not contradict the discontinuity of $\text{Log } z$ at $z = -2$.

Solution/Proof. Let $f(z) = \text{Log } z$. Then $f'(z) = z^{-1}$, so that $f''(z) = -z^{-2}$ and $f'''(z) = 2z^{-3}$, and in general, $f^{(k)}(z) = (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!z^{-k}$.

Thus, $f(i - 2) = \text{Log}(i - 2)$, but for $k \geq 1$, we have $f^{(k)}(i - 2) = (-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!(i - 2)^{-k}$.

Therefore, by the Taylor series formula (4.1), (4.2) on page 144, we have

$$f(z) = \text{Log}(i - 2) + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k (z - (i - 2))^k, \text{ where } a_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!(i - 2)^{-k}}{k!} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k(i - 2)^k}.$$

Applying the ratio test (from page 141), the radius of convergence is

$$R = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}} \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot |i - 2| = |i - 2| = \sqrt{5}, \text{ as claimed.}$$

This does not contradict the discontinuity of $\text{Log } z$ at -2 (and along the slit $(-\infty, 0]$), because there is a different analytic branch of $\log z$ — say, with $\arg z \in (0, 2\pi)$, which has branch cut along $[0, \infty)$ — for which the disk $D(i - 2, \sqrt{5})$ is contained in the domain.

Problem 5. V.4, #12. Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function with power series expansion $\sum a_n z^n$.

If f is an even function (i.e., $f(-z) = f(z)$), prove that $a_n = 0$ for all n odd.

If f is an odd function (i.e., $f(-z) = -f(z)$), prove that $a_n = 0$ for all n even.

Proof. Define $g(z) = f(-z)$. Then by the Chain Rule, we have $g'(z) = -f'(-z)$ and $g''(z) = f''(-z)$; proceeding inductively, we have $g^{(k)}(z) = (-1)^k f^{(k)}(z)$.

Thus, for any $k \geq 0$, we have $g^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k f^{(k)}(0)$.

Since g is also analytic, we may write $g(z) = \sum b_n z^n$. By the Theorem on page 144, we have $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$ and $b_n = \frac{g^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$. It follows that $b_n = (-1)^n a_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Case 1: f is even. Then $g = f$, and hence, by the uniqueness of power series expansions (via the first Corollary on page 146), we have $b_n = a_n$ for all n .

On the other hand, by the above, for any n odd, we have $b_n = (-1)^n a_n = -a_n$. That is, $a_n = -a_n$, and hence $a_n = 0$, as desired.

Case 2: f is odd. Then $g = -f$, and hence, by the uniqueness of power series expansions (via the first Corollary on page 146), we have $b_n = -a_n$ for all n .

On the other hand, by the above, for any n even, we have $b_n = (-1)^n a_n = a_n$. That is, $a_n = -a_n$, and hence $a_n = 0$, as desired. QED